June 24, 2025

County of Greene, Virginia

THE GREENE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MET ON TUESDAY, JUNE 24, 2025, BEGINNING AT 5:30 P.M. IN PERSON IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING MEETING ROOM

Present were:	Marie Durrer, Vice Chair Davis Lamb, Member Tim Goolsby, Member Francis McGuigan, Member Steve Catalano, Chair
Staff present:	Cathy Schafrik, County Administrator Kim Morris, Deputy Clerk Terry Beigie, Grant Writer Kelley Kemp, County Attorney Jim Frydl, Director of Planning and Zoning Melissa Meador, Director of Emergency Services

RE: CLOSED MEETING

Ms. Kemp read the proposed resolution for Closed Meeting.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Greene County desires to discuss in Closed Meeting the following matter(s):

- Discussion, consideration, or interviews of prospective candidates for employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining, or resignation of specific public officers, appointees, or employees of any public body concerning the Board of Zoning Appeals.

- Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation with or briefings in open meetings would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body.

WHEREAS, pursuant to: §2.2-3711 (A)(1) and (A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Greene County does hereby authorize discussion of the aforestated matters in Closed Meeting.

Upon motion by Marie Durrer, second by Davis Lamb and unanimous roll call vote, the Board entered into Closed Meeting.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: OPEN MEETING

Upon motion by Marie Durrer, second by Davis Lamb and unanimous roll call vote, the Board returned to open meeting.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

By unanimous roll call vote, members certified that only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirement and only such matters as identified by the motion to enter into closed meeting were discussed.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: APPOINT MADELEINE LEDFORD TO THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AS THE ALTERNATE

Upon motion by Marie Durrer, second by Tim Goolsby and unanimous roll call vote, the Board appointed Madeleine Ledford to the Board of Zoning Appeals as the Alternate for a 5-year term which will expire on June 30, 2030.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes

	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND MOMENT OF SILENCE

The Chair opened the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance followed by a moment of silence.

RE: ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Upon motion by Marie Durrer, second by Davis Lamb and unanimous roll call vote, the agenda was adopted as amended.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

Mr. Neil Williamson, representing the Free Enterprise Forum, delivered a passionate speech advocating Greene County's water supply plan. Drawing parallels to the wisdom in "The Lion King," he argued that strategic water infrastructure investment is essential for the county's growth and economic development. Mr. Williamson highlighted that by providing water and services in designated development areas, the county can protect rural spaces while simultaneously attracting businesses and supporting housing opportunities. He pointed out that the county's sales tax revenue has tripled to over $3 million annually, partly due to forward-thinking infrastructure investments like the shopping centers. Williamson stressed that water and sewer availability are critical factors in corporate site selection and urged the board to make a decision, emphasizing that complete certainty is impossible, moving forward with the plan will provide Greene County with the ability to expand its customer base and improve service to existing users.

Ms. Julie Lenox-Sharifi, speaking on behalf of both the Greene County Democratic and Republican committees, delivered a passionate plea regarding the closure of the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Company. She highlighted the significant community impact of the sudden closure, emphasizing the lack of transparency and communication from local authorities. Ms. Lenox-Sharifi outlined serious concerns about reduced fire protection, potentially longer emergency response times, and the risk of increased homeowners' insurance rates for over 1,300 households and businesses in the affected area. She urged the Board of Supervisors to take immediate action, proposing several potential solutions including reactivating the fire company with provisional personnel, rotating volunteers from other departments, or seeking assistance from retired and qualified firefighters. Her core message stressed the critical need for a clear timeline and comprehensive public plan to manage fire and medical emergencies. Lenox-Sharifi emphasized that the current situation undermines community confidence and places residents at unnecessary risk, calling on the Board to restore the community's sense of safety and security through swift, transparent action.

Ms. Phyllis Hopkinson, a Ruckersville area resident, shared personal experience to underscore the importance of the local fire department. She recounted a frightening carbon monoxide incident during a winter night when she was sick with bronchitis. The fire department's quick response was crucial, arriving promptly and professionally when she needed help. Hopkinson expressed deep concern about the current situation, where emergency response times have increased to 15-20 minutes due to the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department's closure. She urged the Board of Supervisors to take immediate action to remedy the situation, emphasizing that Ruckersville residents deserve fair treatment and should not be left vulnerable in emergency situations.

Mr. Fred Turck addressed the proposed reservoir plan, expressing skepticism about the multiple iterations of the project. He questioned how many plans the county would develop before finding a suitable solution. Mr. Turck's primary concern was transparency in cost and funding, challenging the board to be open about the project's financial details. He urged the board to provide clear information about the total cost, funding sources, and potential financial implications. Mr. Turck suggested that the county should be upfront about whether the plan is over-budgeted or under-budgeted, arguing that transparency would help prevent misinterpretation and improve community-board relationships.

Ms. Amy Hollis focused her comments on the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department closure and its potential financial and safety implications. She expressed concern about rising taxes and homeowners' insurance rates resulting from the department's shutdown. Ms. Hollis questioned why the Board didn't consider rotating personnel from nearby departments like Dyke or Stanardsville, instead of relying on distant fire departments from Madison, Hollymead, or Orange. She highlighted a recent car accident where emergency response was delayed, potentially compromising public safety. Ms. Hollis specifically noted that Ruckersville has a unique ladder truck and is the only department with certain critical equipment. She urged the board to consider the safety of residents, particularly in light of new high-rise apartments, and asked them to explore a better solution for maintaining emergency services in the area.

Ms. Anthea Haseldon spoke about the proposed reservoir project with a focus on financial details and project approval. She expressed interest in the upcoming presentation and raised several critical questions about the project's costs and implementation. Specifically, she inquired about the contingency fund, questioning whether the 20% standard for projects of this scope was included in the projected costs. Ms. Haseldon also sought clarification on the timeline for VDH and DCR reapproval, and what would happen if these approvals were declined. Her primary concern centered on the resolution the board would be voting on, particularly noting that the document did not specify a definitive project sum. She highlighted her personal financial constraints, emphasizing the need for careful consideration of taxpayer burdens, and urged the board to be transparent and precise about the project's financial implications.

Ms. Jennifer Lewis-Fowler delivered a detailed critique of the reservoir project's financial aspects. She challenged the reduced cost estimate from $87 million to $65.5 million, arguing that historical data suggests large projects typically experience 16-28% cost overruns. She calculated that a 25% overrun would push the project cost to $78.5 million, which is only $8.5 million less than the original plan. Ms. Lewis-Fowler questioned the estimated costs, requesting a breakdown of specific expenses like raw water main line installation, residential driveway repairs, and tree removal. She highlighted that no usable water would be generated until 2029 and raised concerns about the county's water withdrawal capabilities during that period. Additionally, she pointed out the significant challenge of funding the project, noting that it would require nearly 3,000 new residential home connections, whereas only 125 homes connected to the county's water system last year. Ms. Lewis-Fowler concluded by criticizing the resolution's lack of a specific monetary figure and emphasizing the project's financial complexity in the context of other county capital improvements.

Ms. Kathy Darnell began by thanking the board members for their service in what she acknowledged is a challenging role. Her primary focus was on two key issues: the water supply project and emergency services. Ms. Darnell urged the board to stop "kicking the can down the road" regarding the water project, emphasizing that the decision has been pending for decades and needs resolution. Regarding emergency services, she expressed serious concern about the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department's closure, stating that residents feel misrepresented and are scared that someone might die or lose their home due to extended emergency response times.

Mr. Steve Barber critiqued the county's long-standing water infrastructure planning and funding approach. He highlighted that the need for a reservoir was known 12 years ago, yet no proactive steps were taken to raise funds. Mr. Barber drew a comparison between current landowners who independently invest in wells, septic systems, and infrastructure, and the proposed project that might use general fund money from property taxes. He suggested raising Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) fees for new houses to better reflect current property values, noting that fees have not kept pace with inflation. Mr. Barber argued that when individuals buy land in the county, they expect to cover their own water and sewer infrastructure costs and therefore should not bear the burden of a county-wide project through increased taxes.

Mr. Bill Martin delivered an endorsement of the reservoir project. He emphasized the critical importance of water for Greene County's future, noting the current heat's impact on finite water resources. Mr. Martin argued that the reservoir represents the county's legacy and urged the board to make a decisive move. Drawing from personal experience and community involvement, he supported the reduced scope and phased approach of the current plan. He challenged alternative water solutions, particularly dismissing the idea of finding a massive underground aquifer in the Piedmont region. Mr. Martin stressed the importance of public infrastructure, comparing the need for a robust public water system to the significance of a strong public school system. He highlighted the project's long history and the work of previous boards, positioning the current board as the one with the opportunity to finally move the project forward.

Ms. Joanne Burkholder, a former board member, delivered a pointed critique of the board's decision to close the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department. She expressed strong frustration, stating it was the "biggest mistake this board has ever made." Drawing from her decade of experience on the board, she emphasized that she never closed a fire department during her tenure. Ms. Burkholder urged the board to reopen the Ruckersville fire station, referencing years of community fundraising efforts like turkey dinners, donations, and shows to support the facility. She even humorously referenced her basset hound Beauregard's past show participation. Her core message was a direct challenge to the board, warning that their legacy will be tarnished if they continue to keep the fire department closed.

Mr. Bob Burkholder addressed multiple critical issues facing Greene County. Regarding the Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department, he demanded answers about its prolonged closure, noting the board's initial promise to reopen within two weeks. He emphasized the potential increase in fire insurance rates due to the department's inaction. On water and sewer infrastructure, Mr. Burkholder drew from his past experience working on the water treatment plant, criticizing the aging infrastructure and lack of upgrades, particularly in Stanardsville. He challenged board members to do more than simply attend meetings, arguing that true supervision requires actively visiting and understanding the needs of various county departments. Mr. Burkholder stressed the importance of being proactive rather than passive, criticizing the use of phrases like "can't" as an excuse for inaction. He highlighted the significant development happening in the county, with over 1,000 family units under construction, and urged the board to be forward-thinking and responsive to the community's needs.

Mr. Paul Risk, participating remotely, focused on the proposed reservoir's reduced capacity. He questioned whether the scaled-down facility, designed to support 2 million gallons per day, would be sufficient for Greene County's future needs. Using basic calculations, he noted this capacity could support approximately 6,000 households but raised concerns about whether this would be adequate for businesses and long-term growth. Mr. Risk emphasized the importance of ensuring the reduced reservoir truly meets the county's needs for the next 30 years. While expressing hope that the experts would provide convincing evidence, he urged the board to carefully consider whether the smaller project is worth the investment.

Ms. Terry Knight, a lifelong Greene County resident, delivered a passionate defense of the reservoir project. She expressed deep disappointment with the current situation, highlighting a personal incident where a family member was allegedly blocked from getting to the payment drop box by a board member and was called uneducated due to not signing his petition. Ms. Knight emphasized that the water supply issue extends beyond individual residents, affecting the entire community's future, including schools, parks, and recreational facilities. She shared a concerning interaction with a realtor who suggested reducing property prices due to the county's water situation, which she found deeply disheartening. Ms. Knight strongly supported the reservoir project, arguing that it is long overdue and crucial for the county's development and economic potential.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Upon motion by Tim Goolsby, second by Marie Durrer and unanimous roll call vote, the Board approved the consent agenda.

a. Minutes of previous meetings
b. Resolution to accept and appropriate $8,865.00 for the First Responder Wellness grant for the Emergency Medical Services office (Attachment "A")
c. Resolution to accept and appropriate $45,000.00 for the Virginia Sexual and Domestic Violence Victim Fund Grant (Attachment "B")

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: PRESENTATION OF WATER SUPPLY PLAN REVISION REQUESTED BY THE BOARD AT THEIR MEETING ON JANUARY 28, 2025

Mr. Herb White of WW Associates presented a detailed overview of the revised water supply project. With 21 years of experience on the project, he outlined the goal of providing a reliable water supply for Greene County and Stanardsville until 2060. The revised plan includes significant cost-saving measures: reducing the reservoir from 900 million to 600 million gallons, decreasing the water treatment plant capacity from 3 million to 2 million gallons per day, and scaling back the raw water pump station. White emphasized that the 2 million gallons per day capacity would likely serve the county for 30-40 years, noting it took from 1960 to 2025 to reach the first million gallons. He highlighted that the current peak water demand is approximately 1 million gallons daily, and the new plan allows for future expansion to 4 million gallons. Mr. White stressed that the project maintains existing permits and has been designed with phasing in mind to reduce initial costs and potentially attract local contractors. The estimated project cost was reduced from $87 million to $65 million, with White stressing that these are engineering estimates subject to contractor refinement. He also confirmed that existing permits from the Corps of Engineers and Department of Environmental Quality remain valid, providing additional project continuity.

Mr. Lamb's comments focused on the reservoir's capacity and potential phasing approach. He performed a quick calculation about water usage, noting that at 2 million gallons per day, the reservoir could support approximately 6,000 homes, providing around 36 million gallons over 30 days and potentially over 100 million gallons in three months. He was particularly interested in the project's phasing strategy, asking Herb White about the possibility of constructing the dam and preparing infrastructure simultaneously. Mr. Lamb wanted to understand how different project components could be developed concurrently, with a specific focus on ensuring the dam's readiness to hold water as a primary objective.

Mr. Goolsby sought clarification about the reservoir's filling process, asking if water would continue to be drawn from the Rapidan River during construction and filling. Mr. Goolsby wanted to confirm that even as the reservoir is being filled over potentially three years, the county would continue to draw water from the river to meet current needs.

Ms. Durrer said that she has been in favor of the reservoir from day one and that she feels that the phase approach is the best thing for our county at this time. She said that each of the board members had an opportunity to meet with Mr. White to understand the project revisions and that she agrees that it is time to move forward, and she supports this revision of the project.

Mr. McGuigan delivered a detailed, critical analysis of the reservoir project's financial aspects. He highlighted the project's cost evolution, noting that in March, the initial estimate was $75 million for a 900-million-gallon storage and 3 million gallons per day demand. He pointed out that the current proposal represents a significant reduction: 33% reduction in reservoir size, water treatment plant capacity, pump capacity, and raw water main. Mr. McGuigan expressed serious reservations about the project's financials, emphasizing that the cost had increased from $75 million to $87 million in just one year - a 16% increase. He calculated the total potential project cost at $93 million, when including additional water infrastructure upgrades, on top of the existing $27 million in water debt. He proposed alternative solutions, specifically advocating for drilling wells. Mr. McGuigan suggested that seven additional wells could double the county's water capacity at a relatively low cost (approximately $500,000) and noted that well water often requires less treatment than reservoir water. Ultimately, Mr. McGuigan stated he could not support the project, describing the resolution as a "blank check" and arguing that the board had not exhaustively explored all water production alternatives. He called for a more comprehensive financial analysis that considers the project in context with other county capital improvements.

Mr. Catalano shared a passionate endorsement of the reservoir project, drawing from 25 years of county meetings and discussions. He began by recalling a memorable quote, "Whiskey is for drinking, water's worth fighting over," which he now fully understands. Mr. Catalano emphasized that a secure, sustainable water supply is as essential to a locality as any infrastructure service like fire, rescue, police, or schools. He framed the reservoir project as a critical economic development tool and expressed concern that mixed signals from the county could harm economic development and private real estate ventures. Mr. Catalano likened the project's progression to a relay race, with each board running its segment and passing the baton, and he believes their current board now holds that baton. He said that approving the resolution would unlock multiple grant opportunities and state and federal funding sources that are currently unavailable. He stressed that by taking action now, the county controls its own destiny, rather than being forced into a solution by external entities. Mr. Catalano urged the board to move forward, viewing the project as an opportunity to secure funding, support economic growth, and provide a sustainable water infrastructure for Greene County's future.

Upon motion by Marie Durrer, second by Davis Lamb and unanimous roll call vote, the Board approved the resolution as presented. (Attachment "C")

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	No
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: APPROVAL OF PERFORMANCE BONUS FOR EMPLOYEES

Ms. Schafrik presented a nuanced overview of the employee performance bonus situation, highlighting the complexities created by the Compensation Board's mandate. Recognizing the potential for inequity among county employees, she outlined four potential approaches to bonus distribution: no bonuses, bonuses only for state-funded positions, bonuses for state-funded positions plus related department employees, or bonuses for all county employees. To fund these options, Schafrik proposed a strategic financial approach that would minimize disruption to the county's budget. For a county-wide bonus, she suggested a multi-pronged funding strategy, including a 0.5% cut from departments with budgets exceeding $250,000, utilizing contingency funds, reallocating funds from fuel budgets, and potentially leveraging carryover money from the current fiscal year. She candidly explained that bonus distribution was unusual, stemming from the Compensation Board's unexpected allocation of extra funds.

After discussion, the Board decided to go with option 1 which gave all employees in constitutional offices a bonus.

Upon motion by Tim Goolsby, second by Marie Durrer and unanimous roll call vote, the Board approved the bonus option 1 as presented.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: BOARD LIAISON REPORTS

Ms. Durrer said that she attended the CVRJ meeting last Thursday and that revenue in all categories looked good.

Mr. Goolsby said that he attended the Tourism Council and that they are getting ready to have to adopt a new Chair. They were also working on the Fourth of July festival.

RE: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S UPDATE

In her county administrator's update, Ms. Schafrik highlighted several upcoming community events and administrative changes. She praised the successful animal shelter fundraiser, which featured a band and artwork sale. She announced an upcoming EMS fundraiser at Fiorano restaurant on June 26th and the first "Sunsets on Main" event sponsored by the Stanardsville star group, featuring the Wolf's Fixins food truck and a high-energy Charlottesville cover band. Ms. Schafrik also provided important administrative information, noting that beginning July 1st, water and sewer bill payments will be processed at the water and sewer department location instead of the treasurer's office - a change she described as nostalgic for long-time residents who remember paying bills at that building historically. She mentioned the upcoming Fourth of July events, with details available online, and encouraged community participation.

RE: OTHER ITEMS FROM THE BOARD

Mr. Catalano proposed a series of focused agenda items and workshops. Recognizing the complexity of the water supply project, he advocated for a multi-pronged strategy that would provide deeper insight and transparency. His recommendations included exploring temporary wells to address immediate water supply gaps, organizing 1-2 financing workshops within the next 60 days to thoroughly examine potential funding options for the water impoundment project, and conducting a comprehensive review of all existing ordinances related to public water and sewer. Mr. Catalano wanted to dispel misinformation, emphatically stating that the board has never discussed taxing private wells or septic systems - a rumor he found damaging to the project's progress. By proposing these targeted workshops and agenda items, Mr. Catalano aimed to create a more informed, transparent decision-making process that would help board members, and the public better understand the nuances of the water infrastructure project.

RE: ADJOURN

Upon motion by Tim Goolsby, second by Marie Durrer and unanimous roll call vote, the Board meeting was adjourned.

Recorded vote:	Marie Durrer	-	Yes
	Davis Lamb	-	Yes
	Tim Goolsby	-	Yes
	Francis McGuigan	-	Yes
	Steve Catalano	-	Yes

Motion carried.

Steve Catalano, Chair
Greene County Board of Supervisors

Cathy Schafrik, Clerk
Greene County Board of Supervisors

RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE DOLLARS FOR THE FIRST RESPONDER WELLNESS GRANT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the County of Greene has received funding from the Department of Criminal Justice Services the First Responder Wellness Grant Program for Fiscal Year 2026; and

WHEREAS, the funds in the amount of eight thousand eight hundred sixty-five dollars ($8,865.00) need to be accepted and appropriated to the correct line item in the 2025-2026 budget of the County of Greene, Virginia.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Greene, Virginia that eight thousand eight hundred sixty-five dollars ($8,865.00) be appropriated to the 2025-2025 budget of the County of Greene.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Interim County Administrator of the County of Greene, Virginia is authorized to make the appropriate accounting adjustments in the budget to all things necessary to give his resolution effect.

Adopted this 24th day of June, 2025.

Motion: Tim Goolsby
Second: Marie Durrer

Recorded Vote:

Steve Catalano	Yes
Marie C. Durrer	Yes
Tim Goolsby	Yes
Davis Lamb	Yes
Francis McGuigan	Yes

Steve Catalano, Chair
Greene County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Cathy Schafrik, Clerk
Greene County Board of Supervisors

RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE FORTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR THE VIRGINIA DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIM FUND GRANT

WHEREAS, the County of Greene has received funding from the Department of Criminal Justice Services from the Virginia Domestic Violence Victim Fund; and

WHEREAS, the funds are to be used for a part-time prosecutor for the Commonwealth's Attorney's Office; and

WHEREAS, the funds in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000.00) need to be accepted and appropriated to the correct line item in the 2025-2026 budget of the County of Greene, Virginia.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Greene, Virginia that forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000.00) be appropriated to the 2025-2025 budget of the County of Greene.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Interim County Administrator of the County of Greene, Virginia is authorized to make the appropriate accounting adjustments in the budget to all things necessary to give his resolution effect.

Adopted this 24th day of June, 2025.

Motion: Tim Goolsby
Second: Marie Durrer

Recorded Vote:

Steve Catalano	Yes
Marie C. Durrer	Yes
Tim Goolsby	Yes
Davis Lamb	Yes
Francis McGuigan	Yes

Steve Catalano, Chair
Greene County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:
Cathy Schafrik, Clerk
Greene County Board of Supervisors

RESOLUTION TO PROCEED WITH THE WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT PROJECT

WHEREAS, Greene County has been actively planning for a long-term water supply solution to serve the County and the Town of Stanardsville, in accordance with the Regional Water Supply Plan and in response to projected community needs through the year 2060; and

WHEREAS, the Water Supply Plan was finalized on February 11, 2011, and the Board of Supervisors unanimously approved the plan which included the reservoir project on July 26, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the County held two public meetings regarding the project on July 13, 2017, and September 25, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution December 12, 2017, to move forward with the Water Supply and Treatment Project; and

WHEREAS, the County completed the access road (Vista Heights Road) to the reservoir in December 2023; and

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2025, Herb White of WW Associates presented an updated plan for the Water Supply and Treatment Project to the Greene County Board of Supervisors, outlining a phased approach with significant cost savings through value engineering, including adjustments to the reservoir, water treatment plant, raw water intake, and distribution infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, the project includes necessary preparatory actions and construction elements such as a reduced-capacity White Run Reservoir (600 MG), a 2 MGD Water Treatment Plant (expandable to 4 MGD), and an 8 MGD Raw Water Pump Station with a 24-inch raw water line, all of which are critical to securing long-term water supply reliability and addressing the current and future demand; and

WHEREAS, the County has received the necessary environmental and regulatory permits including, but not limited to, the 404 Permit from the Army Corps of Engineers (valid through June 13, 2028) and the 401 Water Withdrawal Permit from the Department of Environmental Quality (valid through March 12, 2028); and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Health and Department of Conservation and Recreation previously approved project components; and

WHEREAS, project financing strategies and debt service plans have been reviewed in coordination with Davenport & Company, including leveraging state funding such as the $3 million allocation in the FY2025 State Budget contingent upon securing a $9 million local match; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors recognizes the importance of initiating construction to maintain regulatory compliance, secure state funding, and meet the County's critical infrastructure and economic development needs;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Greene County Board of Supervisors, that the County hereby accepts and approves the implementation of the Water Supply and Treatment Project as presented by Herb White on June 24, 2025, including advancing updated design approvals, pursuing applicable funding opportunities, and initiating procurement and construction processes as necessary to execute the project scope.

Adopted this 24th day of June 2025.

Motion: Marie Durrer

Second: Davis Lamb

Recorded Vote:

Steve Catalano	Yes
Marie C. Durrer	Yes
Tim Goolsby	Yes
Davis Lamb	Yes
Francis McGuigan	No

Steve Catalano, Chair
Greene County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

Cathy Schafrik, Clerk
Greene County Board of Supervisors